

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,539	03/25/2004	Mutsuko Nichogi	MAT-8525US	6156
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 07/05/2007 STIA		EXAM	IINER
P O BOX 980			Couso	, JOSE L
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/809,539	NICHOGI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jose L. Couso	2624		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- liked patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	i. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	n.			
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-10 is/are rejected.				
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•		
Applicat	ion Papers		•		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	pplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea	·			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachmen	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/04, 5/2/06.		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/809,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 6-10 are drawn to a computer implemented process that merely manipulates data or an abstract idea, or merely solves a mathematical problem without a limitation to a practical application in the technological arts.

In order for a claimed invention to accomplish a practical application, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result" *State Street,* 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02 (see MPEP 2106.II.A). A practical application can be achieved through recitation of "a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan", or "limited to a practical application within the technological arts" (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)). Currently, claims 6-10 are meet neither of these criteria. In order to for the claimed process to produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result, recitation of one or more of the following elements is suggested:

- The manipulation of data that represents a physical object or activity transformed from outside the computer (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(i)).
- A recitation of a physical transformations outside the computer, for example in the form of pre or post computer processing activity (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(i)).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,539

Art Unit: 2624

 A direct recitation of a practical application in the technological arts (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(ii)).

3. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 6-10 are drawn to non-functional descriptive material. MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a) (Nonfunctional Descriptive Material) states:

"Descriptive material that cannot exhibit any functional interrelationship with the way in which computing processes are performed does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101."

"Where certain types of descriptive material, such as music, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, are merely stored so as to be read or outputted by a computer without creating any functional interrelationship, either as part of the stored data or as part of the computing process performed by the computer, then such descriptive material alone does not impart functionality either to the data as so structured, or to the computer."

"For example, music is commonly sold to consumers in the form of a compact disc. In such cases, the know compact disc acts as nothing more than a carrier for nonfunctional descriptive material. The purely nonfunctional descriptive material cannot alone provide the practical application for the manufacture."

MPEP 2106.IV.B.1 (Nonstatutory Subject Matter) states:

"When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computerreadable medium, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement".

Claims 6-10 currently recite a method for processing an image. There is no functional relationship imparted by this data to a computing device. Therefore, the claims are drawn to non-functional descriptive material which is non-statutory per se.

The fact that the claim recites a computer readable medium does not provide the utility

Application/Control Number: 10/809,539

Art Unit: 2624

(i.e., practical application in the technological arts) required under 35 U.S.C. 101 for the manufacture.

4. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 6-10 are drawn to functional descriptive material embodied on a computer readable medium (i.e., "data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component" at MPEP 2106.IV.B(1)). However, the program/algorithm itself merely manipulates data or an abstract idea, or merely solves a mathematical problem without a limitation to a practical application in the technological arts. MPEP 2106.IV.B.2(a) (Statutory Product Claims) states:

"A claim limited to a ... manufacture, which has a practical application in the technological arts, is statutory."

In order for a claimed invention to accomplish a practical application, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result" *State Street,* 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02 (see MPEP 2106.II.A). Currently, the claim does not recite a practical application. In order to for the claimed product to produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result, recitation of one or more of the following elements is suggested:

- The manipulation of data that represents a physical object or activity transformed from outside the computer (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(i)).
- A physical transformations outside the computer, for example in the form
 of pre or post computer processing activity (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(i)).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,539 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

 A direct recitation of a practical application in the technological arts (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(ii)).

5. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention is so abstract and sweeping as to cover the method if practiced by a human operator assisted only by pencil and paper. The claims do not include a particular machine or apparatus, and no machine-implemented steps are recited, the steps are capable of performance by the human mind. A method of this sort, traditionally called a mental process, is not patentable subject matter.

A Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, <u>mental-processes</u>, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work. (emphasis added). <u>Gottschalk v. Benson</u>, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (USSC 1972). See also, <u>In re Prater and Wei</u>, 159 USPQ 583 (1968), <u>rehearing</u>, 162 USPQ 541 (1969).

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2624

7. Claims 1-2 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,039,222).

With regard to claim 1, Simon et al. describes an interest part input section for the user observing an input image to select an interest part as a part interested in of the input image (see figure 1A, element 40 and refer for example to column 5, lines 29-30 and column 7, lines 15-19); a texture size enhancing unit for magnifying in size the interest part of a texture (see figure 8, element 820 and refer for example to column 18, lines 45-48); and an enhancing processing unit for carrying out a sharpness enhancing process on the interest part magnified of the texture (refer for example to column 17, lines 19-41).

As to claim 2, Simon et al. describes wherein the sharpness enhancing process is to expand a distribution of a first principal component analysis value computed by analyzing, based on a principal component analysis, a part or entire of the input image by using the enhancing value (as describes in column 17, lines 19-29).

As to claim 6, Simon et al. describes a first step for selecting an interest part as a part interested in of the input image (see figure 1A, element 40 and refer for example to column 5, lines 29-30 and column 7, lines 15-19); a second step for magnifying in size the interest part of a texture (see figure 8, element 820 and refer for example to column 18, lines 45-48); and third step for carrying out a sharpness enhancing process on the interest part magnified of the texture (refer for example to column 17, lines 19-41).

In regard to claim 7, Simon et al. describes wherein the sharpness enhancing process is to expand a distribution of a first principal component analysis value

Application/Control Number: 10/809,539 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

computed by analyzing, based on a principal component analysis, a part or entire of the input image by using the enhancing value (as described in column 17, lines 19-29).

- 8. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gupta et al., Gallagher et al., Avinash, Hoshino, Alkouh and Ishizaka all disclose systems similar to applicant's claimed invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jose L. Couso whose telephone number is (571) 272-7388. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella, can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the USPTO contact Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-4357.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RIMARY EXAMINER

Jlc June 21, 2007